

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

## CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL

Case No.	CV 22-6959 PA (SKx)	Date	September 28, 2022
Title	L. N. v. John Shirley.		

---

Present: The Honorable		PERCY ANDERSON, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
------------------------	--	--

---

Kamilla Sali-Suleyman	Not Reported	N/A
Deputy Clerk	Court Reporter	Tape No.
Attorneys Present for Plaintiffs:		Attorneys Present for Defendants:
None		None

**Proceedings:** IN CHAMBERS—ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 10, the title of a complaint must set out the names of all parties to the action. Fed. R. Civ. P. 10(a). Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 17(a)(1) requires that an “action must be prosecuted in the name of the real party in interest.” Additionally, the Central District’s Local Rules require parties to list, on the first page of all documents, the “names of the parties.” L.R. 11-3.8(d). “The normal presumption in litigation is that parties must use their real names.” Doe v. Kamehameha Sch., 596 F.3d 1036, 1042 (9th Cir. 2010). “[W]hen special circumstances justify secrecy,” a party may be permitted to proceed anonymously or use a pseudonym. Does I Thru XXIII v. Advanced Textile Corp., 214 F.3d 1058, 1067 (9th Cir. 2000). A party, however, must obtain leave from the court in order to proceed under a fictitious name. See American-Arab Anti-Discrimination Comm. v. Ridge, SA CV 02-1200 AHS (ANx), 2003 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 25100, at \*23 (C.D. Cal. Nov. 5, 2003).

Here, the case caption uses an initialism for the name of plaintiff. However, plaintiff identifies himself below the caption in the body of the Complaint as “Luke Nuttall.” Plaintiff has not sought leave from this Court to proceed in this action under a pseudonym.

Accordingly, the Court orders Plaintiff to show cause in writing why the Complaint should not be dismissed with leave to amend for plaintiff’s use of a pseudonym in the caption and for failure to comply with Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 10, 17, and Local Rule 11-3.8. See Doe v. Rostker, 89 F.R.D. 158, 163 (N.D. Cal. 1981) (“This court has both the duty and the right to ensure compliance with the Federal Rules and to take action necessary to achieve the orderly and expeditious disposition of cases.”). Plaintiff’s response shall not exceed five pages. Plaintiff’s response to this Order shall be filed on or before October 12, 2022. In the alternative, Plaintiff may file an Amended Complaint listing Plaintiff’s true name in the caption. Failure to timely or adequately respond to this Order may result in the dismissal of this action without prejudice and without further notice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.